

2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) -- Deficiency Analysis--

Andy Henry, andrew.henry@durhamnc.gov, May 12, 2021, Web page

Presentation Outline



- Background and Purpose
- SE Data Update
- Deficiency Analysis tools
- MTP Schedule


Where is the data?



- Presentation is summary that explains how to understand data and graphics
- DCHC web page. Detailed data, graphics, and maps. Click <u>here</u>.
- CAMPO web page. Congestion, highway projects, transit projects, and SE Data on single, region-wide, interactive map. Click <u>here</u>.


Transportation Planning Framework





What is Model Area?

DCHC MPO is responsible for Durham, Orange, Chatham and Person counties' SE Data.






DCHCMPO.ORG

2050 MTP Milestones





DCHCMPO.ORG

Deficiency Analysis

Overview



- Purpose: ensure staff, public and Board familiar with deficiencies; receive feedback
- What is it? Model 2050 population and employment on today's transportation network.
- Today's presentation has <u>highlights</u>.
- Full complement of tables and maps on <u>Web</u> site
- We will often reference deficiency maps and documents throughout MTP development.

Previous Public Comment



Before review Deficiency Analysis data, remember what public has already told us...

MPO Goals Survey

Highest ranked policies:

- Encourage biking and walking
- Increase transit service
- Coordinate land use and transportation
- Increase car pools and ride shares

See Goals web page (<u>click here</u>) for Goals & Objectives and survey response details.

MPO Goals Survey

Most common themes:

- Reduce personal vehicle dependence
- Protect environment; increase sustainability
- Support low-income & minority populations
- Enhance transit connectivity
- Increase bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure

Previous Public Comment (continued)



Peer review

MPO staff did peer review of Goals from 13 local plans in DCHC MPO planning area. These jurisdictions identified transportation themes similar to those of the DCHC MPO.

Engage Durham

Transit was the most discussed topic in the 2020 survey (among for example, housing, education, etc.)

Among top ten issues, five are relevant to DCHC MPO:


- Transit
- Engagement process
- Infrastructure
- Growth and development
- Walkability


Socioeconomic Data

Guide Totals



| County | 2016 | 2050 | 2016-2050 | % change |
|-------------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Chatham* | 46,051 | 103,345 | 57,294 | 124% |
| Durham | 300,939 | 458,906 | 157,967 | 52% |
| Orange | 143,678 | 193,477 | 49,799 | 35% |
| Total | 490,668 | 755,729 | 265,061 | 54% |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| County | 2016 | 2050 | 2016-2050 | % change |
| Chatham* | 11,358 | 24,426 | 13,068 | 115% |
| Durham | 217,114 | 401,168 | 184,054 | 85% |
| Orange | 71,516 | 116,769 | 45,253 | 63% |
| Total | 299,988 | 542,363 | 242,375 | 81% |
| * Only includes p | | | | |





^{*} More detailed household and employment forecast data is available on Deficiency Analysis Web page.

Socioeconomic Data

Community Plan – Population growth from 2016 to 2050



Community Plan allocates guide total population based on local land use plans and policies.

Note clusters along major travel corridors between Durham and Chapel Hill

Durham County has spread north and east.


Much of Orange County growth is in towns and west US 70 corridor.



Socioeconomic Data

Community Plan – Employment growth from 2016 to 2050





Community Plan - based on local land use plans and policies.

Note clusters at major roadway crossroads, downtowns, and universities.

RTP and vicinity continues strong growth

Employment not as spread out as population (dwelling units).

^{*} Larger PDF maps and an interactive online map are available on Deficiency Analysis Web page.

Performance Measures

Background



- General indicators of overall system:
 - Mobility Performance (e.g., travel time)
 - Mode Choice
 - Travel volume (e.g., VMT, VHT)
- Not specific to corridor or project.
- Useful for overall comparison of MTP Alternatives

Performance Measures




Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) & Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT)

| Name = | | Current | E+C | 2016 to |
|---|--|------------|--|--------------------------|
| | 2016 | 2050 | 2050 E+C | |
| | 2016 | E+C | Change | |
| Performance Measures | | | | |
| Total Vehicle Miles Travel | 14,516,717 | 22,667,044 | 56% | |
| Total Vehicle Miles Travel | 33 | 34 | 1 4% | |
| Total Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT-daily) | | 365,641 | / (726,741) | / 99% |
| Total Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT-per capita) | | 0.82/ | 1.09 | / Ĵ33% |
| - | VMT and VHT will dramatically incing the Existing-plus-Committed (E+C) scenario. VMT driven by population (49% population increase) (note: VMT per capita is fairly | | VHT growth of because of in congestion | outpaces VMT acreased |

Performance Measures

Changes in Mobility Measures






- Although average distance slightly declines, overall VMT and VHT greatly increase because population and employment grow substantially.
- VHT increase outpaces VMT increase because average speed slows due to congestion.

<u>Notes</u>

- VMT = vehicle miles traveled
- VHT = vehicle hours traveled

Large increase in congested VMT



Travel Isochrones

DCHC METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION PLANNING TOMORROW'S TRANSPORTATION

Background


- More specific than Performance Measures can start to see corridor mobility.
- Based on afternoon peak hour from four selected centers:
 - Downtown Durham
 - Chapel Hill/Carrboro
 - RTP
 - Downtown Raleigh
- Map illustrates "contours" for 15-, 30-, 45-minute, etc. commutes from the centers.
- Two maps for each center:
 - 2016
 - E+C (20505 SE Data using E+C network)

Travel Isochrones



Example

Contours narrow in afternoon peak hour leaving Chapel Hill to the east.



Travel Time

Background



- Shows travel time forecasts between regional centers.
- Uses morning and afternoon peak <u>hour</u> ("peak of the peak").
- Based on commute between six selected centers:
 - Downtown Durham
 - Chapel Hill/Carrboro
 - RTP
 - Hillsborough
 - Pittsboro
 - Downtown Raleigh
- Compares 2016 and E+C travel times

Travel Time

Tables



Hotter the color = larger % increase

| Compare 2016 and 2050 AFTERNOON Peak (percent increase) | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| To ==> | Durham DWTN | RTP | Raleigh DW1N | Chapel Hill | H'borough | Pittsboro | | |
| Durham DWTN | | 18% | 74% | 49% | 70% | 87% | | |
| RTP < | 41% | | 93% | 70% | 73% | 106% | | |
| Raleigh DWTN | 82% | 90% | | 87% | 89% | 114% | | |
| Chapel Hill | 62% | 63% / | 86% | | 58% | 78% | | |
| Hillsborough | 31% | 26%′ | 64% | 27% | | 30% | | |
| Pittsboro | 41% | 35% | 82% | 13% | 5% | | | |

Largest increases in afternoon travel time will be to/from Raleigh, and to Pittsboro (Chatham Park residents' work-to-home commute?)

Congestion Maps (V/C)

DCHC METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION PLANNING TOMORROW'S TRANSPORTATION

Background

- Maps show the forecasted congestion on <u>specific</u> road segments: Daily and Afternoon Peak Hour will be available
- "V/C" means the traffic volume divided by the traffic capacity of the road segment. (For example, a volume of 9,000 vehicles on a road that is capable of carrying 10,000 vehicles will produce a V/C of 0.9.)
- A V/C of 1.0 is equal to a Level of Service (LOS) of "E", which can be described as:
 - Limit of acceptable delay, unstable flow, poor signal progression, traffic near roadway capacity, frequent cycle failures.
- Web site has interactive map, and county-level and close-up PDF map views.

Congestion Maps (V/C)

Orange and Red are very congested!

Congestion (V/C)


No data

0.0 - 0.8 (Free Flow)

0.8 - 1.0 (Periodic)

1.0 - 1.2 (Congested)

1.2+ (Long Delays)



Congestion is almost universal for interstates, freeways and arterials.

Coming Attractions

DCHC METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION PLANNING TOMORROW'S TRANSPORTATION

In the Alternatives Analysis

1- Equity Measures


Average commute distance, time and delay, and safety data by:


- Low-income
- Minority
- Zero-car households

2- Travel Choice Neighborhoods

Compares mode choice for region with areas that have access to high

end transit





Schedule

Board Actions



- <u>May</u> Release Deficiency Analysis for 30-day public comment period
- June/July Release Alternatives Analysis
 (full set of public input activities)
- October Release Locally Preferred
 Alternative (LPA)
- January (2022) Adopt 2050 MTP and Air Quality Conformity Report