Imagine 2040: Triangle Region Scenario Planning Initiative

Summary of Land Suitability Analysis Variables by Development Scenario

| Data Layer | Measurement | Correlation = | Development Scenario Weighting (0-10) | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | | Trend | Community Plans | Regulatory Hybrid | All-In Transit Nodes | Managed Growth | Consensus Scenario |
| Highway System | | | | | | | | |
| US Highways | Proximity | Positive | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| NC Highways | Proximity | Positive | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Future Highway Network, TRM 2040 | Proximity | Positive | 6 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Interchange Locations | Proximity | Positive | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Major Intersections | Proximity | Positive | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Secondary Intersections | Proximity | Positive | 7 | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Development Activity Centers | | | | | | | | |
| Metropolitan City Activity Centers | Proximity | Positive | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Town Center & CBD Activity Centers | Proximity | Positive | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Regional & Community Activity Centers | Proximity | Positive | 9 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Colleges & Universities | Proximity | Positive | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Transit System | | | | | | | | |
| Premium Rubber Tire Transit Corridors | Proximity | Positive | 0 | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Future Regional Bus Routes | Proximity | Positive | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - |
| Commuter Rail Stations (TMP) | Proximity | Positive | 0 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Light Rail Stations (TMP) | Proximity | Positive | 0 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Commuter Rail Stations (Enhanced) | Proximity | Positive | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Light Rail Stations (Enhanced) | Proximity | Positive | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Environmental Features | | | | | | | | |
| Watershed Protection Areas | Overlap | Negative | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Voluntary Agriculture Districts | Overlap | Negative | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Significant Natural Heritage Areas | Overlap | Negative | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| 100-Year Floodplain Protection Areas | Overlap | Negative | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Urban Footprint | | | | | | | | |
| Existing & Emerging Growth Areas (Planning Boundaries) | Overlap | Positive | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Tier 1 Sewer Service Area | Overlap | Positive | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Tier 2 Sewer Service Area | Overlap | Positive | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Tier 3 Sewer Service Area | Overlap | Positive | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| Tier 1 Water Service Area | Overlap | Positive | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Tier 2 Water Service Area | Overlap | Positive | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Tier 3 Water Service Area | Overlap | Positive | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | - |

Last Revised April 11, 2012